

2025 |



S.P.A.F. FOUNDATION

Positioning for the Future of Capital Sovereignty

S.P.A.F. NEWSLETTER

AUGUST



**Global Shifts: The House of
Cards Teeters**

SPAF-FOUNDATION.ORG



August 2025

Mission Statement

The Sovereign Portfolio Asset Fund, the S.P.A.F. Foundation, is dedicated to pioneering long-term, value-driven investment strategies that generate sustainable financial returns while delivering measurable social and environmental impact. Rooted in a philosophy of responsible stewardship and strategic innovation, our mission is to empower communities, investors, and stakeholders through the careful deployment of capital into transformative assets and initiatives.

Through a disciplined governance framework under Dutch law, we seek to maximise capital efficiency, enhance financial inclusion, and enable scalable solutions that support equitable development.

Our foundation collaborates with global partners, institutional investors, and mission-aligned organisations to construct a resilient financial ecosystem that supports sustainable infrastructure, clean technology, community upliftment, and inclusive economic growth. By bridging traditional finance and impact investing, we provide tailored solutions for complex financial environments, particularly in emerging markets where capital can be a powerful agent of transformation.

S.P.A.F. Foundation believes that capital, when aligned with purpose, can become a tool for enduring positive change. Our mission is not merely to invest, but to create legacies of resilience, prosperity, and progress for future generations.



WHISTLEBLOWER WILDCARD:

PATRICK BYRNE AND THE
COLLAPSE OF
DEMOCRATIC ILLUSIONS

Introduction

Unmasking the Allegations: Patrick Byrne's Global Democracy Disruption

Democracy today resembles a fragile **house of cards**: impressive at first glance, but one well-placed gust can bring the whole structure crashing down. Patrick Byrne — once the billionaire CEO of Overstock.com and later an **unlikely intelligence asset** — has become that gust. Byrne's story isn't the usual tale of a disgruntled executive; it's a jarring crossover of Silicon Valley entrepreneur and Frank Underwood-style insider, now turned whistleblower.

According to his revelations, a decades-long operation involving intelligence agencies, criminal cartels, and technology operators has been quietly **rigging elections worldwide**. What sounds like a plot binge-worthy on Netflix is, disturbingly, described as the real playbook of modern geopolitics. Governments teeter on manipulated foundations, legitimacy is bartered like chips in a casino, and voters — the supposed players — are reduced to extras in a show whose ending is already written.

And yet, as Byrne insists, every script contains wildcards: relentless activists and courageous whistleblowers who refuse to follow stage directions and instead threaten to topple the deck.

The Global House of Cards: Conspiracies, Coups, and the Comedy of Corruption

"Democracy is so overrated." – Frank Underwood, House of Cards

Patrick Byrne's interview reads less like a whistleblower's dossier and more like a leaked script from Netflix's **House of Cards**. Except this time, the characters are real: Obama as the suave political chess player, Hillary as the would-be Queen forced into checkmate, Trump as the unpredictable Joker who flips the table, and intelligence agencies as the Whip that everyone pretends to fear but secretly manipulates.

Let's deal the cards. In this edition, we dive deep into Byrne's explosive claims—from U.S. election rigging to a global network of manipulated democracies. But to give this a truly international spin, we'll zoom out for "global views," drawing parallels to real-world examples where elections have been allegedly "flipped" through tech, bribes, or covert ops. Think of it as a worldwide poker game where the house (often backed by superpowers) always wins—until someone calls bluff.

Act I: The Coup That Ate Itself – Obama's Frank Underwood Moment

Patrick Byrne spins a tale that could have been lifted straight from a House of Cards script: Barack Obama's inner circle, weary of trusting Hillary Clinton with the keys to the kingdom, allegedly staged a coup against her in 2015. Not to dethrone her, mind you, but to leash her. Obama's people didn't want Hillary to own the Oval Office — they wanted her to rent it on their terms. Or, as Frank Underwood might smirk: "What's better than power? Power you don't have to pay the mortgage on."

Byrne, moonlighting as a "national intelligence asset" while running Overstock.com (a cover job about as glamorous as Frank's old rib joint haunts), claims the Russia investigation was nothing more than smoke and mirrors — a magician's flourish to distract from the real trick: Obama's team keeping Hillary on a short leash. The leash, in this case, was green. Byrne says he arranged an \$18 million bribe in early 2016, a tidy sum meant to function like a Bunsen burner under Clinton's chair. Behave, and the flame stayed low, warming her presidency gently. Misbehave — challenge Obamacare, wander off-script — and the flame would roar, incinerating her ambitions and, conveniently, clearing the runway for Michelle Obama in 2024.

The plan was, in theory, flawless. But as Frank would say, *"The road to power is paved with hypocrisy, and casualties."*

And in 2016, that casualty was the script itself. Instead of Hillary dutifully playing the puppet queen, in stormed Donald Trump — the political equivalent of a wrecking ball covered in spray tan. Imagine Frank meticulously lining up dominoes for his grand finale, only to have a stray cat leap onto the table, tail twitching, sending tiles flying everywhere. That cat was Trump. And unlike a cat, he didn't just scatter the pieces — he claimed ownership of the whole table.

What began as an elegant coup to control Hillary devolved into a Shakespearean farce: factions clawing at each other, institutions weaponized, and the public fed a bedtime story about Russian hackers while the real drama was happening in the Democratic basement. Now, with DNI Tulsi Gabbard's July 2025 declassifications showing Obama-era officials cooked the intelligence books to undermine Trump, Byrne's tale feels less like paranoia and more like an episode where Frank finally turns to the camera, smirks, and says: *"You thought this was about Moscow? Bless your heart."*

Act II: Smartmatic – The Global Rigging Franchise: Now Serving Democracy with Extra MSG

Imagine McDonald's, but instead of burgers, it serves rigged elections. Same golden arches, different continents. Welcome to Smartmatic: the Venezuelan mafia's gift to democracy. For 20 years, this little operation allegedly turned voting booths into a global drive-thru, where you could pick a candidate, customize the margin, and hit "submit." Serbia cooked the fries, China supplied the spatulas, and corrupt politicians worldwide queued up, napkins at the ready.

The mastermind? Venezuela's *Cartel de los Soles*—the Mafia of the Generals. They nationalized oil, pocketed \$4 trillion, and then realized elections are just another commodity to launder power. Polls screaming 80–20? No problem. Their software magically converts it into a cozy 51–49 victory. Smartmatic, founded in Florida by Venezuelans with a flair for global mischief, franchised this "democracy-as-a-service" to 72 countries. Dominion? Just one of its many happy meals.

The crown jewel? The "15th method" fail-safe: a digital puppet master in Belgrade, running on Chinese Huawei servers, editing ballot images in real time. Want a recount? Trigger one. Don't want a recount? Zap—recount avoided. Precision-engineered victories, down to the 0.26% decimal. In this world, elections are less about voters and more about server logs with a sense of humour.

In Frank's world, you bribe congressmen with pork. Here, you bribe entire nations with sleek, "modernized" voting systems—because nothing seduces a politician like the promise of a glitch-free rigging console. This wasn't a one-off; it was a permanent global infrastructure for power laundering. Citizens? Optional. Excel sheets? Mandatory.

Byrne alleges 2020 was a masterclass: paper ballots were scanned into editable images, transmitted to servers, and if Belgrade felt lazy, it intervened with a click. Recounts? Joke's on you—they were looking at the same doctored images all along. 2024 allegedly saw Trump reclaim the throne because someone—or some heroic hacker collective—flipped the switch, neutralizing the rigging network. Highlights include Pennsylvania's overnight vote flips, Georgia warehouses full of shredded fake ballots (courtesy of the FBI), and China-linked fake IDs pre-loaded with votes—a dystopian adult scavenger hunt.

USAID, a.k.a. the CIA's friendly neighborhood NGO distributor, funneled billions into CEPS in Arlington, VA, staffed by Soros acolytes, to sprinkle Smartmatic like confetti across 26 nations. The goal: globalist-friendly elections on tap. Because if democracy is a cocktail, why not spike it with some clandestine flavor?

Whistleblowers from 72 nations reportedly confessed decades of mischief to General Michael Flynn in Europe. Tulsi Gabbard assembled a citizens' study group in April 2025. Pam Bondi and Kash Patel received the intel, but the "deep state" dragged its feet—because bureaucracy loves a slow thriller.

In short: if democracy is pizza, Smartmatic is the guy sneaking anchovies, ghost peppers, and a dab of MSG into every slice. You'll eat it, you'll hate it, and somehow, they'll convince you it was your idea.

Act III: The Deep State as The Whip – Counting Votes, Breaking Kneecaps

Frank's favorite weapon wasn't the Oval Office—it was the Whip. In Byrne's version of reality, the Whip has evolved into a modern, high-tech ensemble: the CIA, FBI, and their cadre of friendly deep-state actors, making absolutely certain that inconvenient truths never see the light of day.

Consider the parallels. In *House of Cards*, the Whip corrals Congress, nudging votes into line with a subtle menace. In Byrne's account, the CIA corrals evidence—either aligning it neatly in court or quietly disappearing it into the bureaucratic ether. When alignment fails? Apply pressure. Destroy careers. Threaten lawsuits.

Or, if you're feeling old-school, bury incriminating files in basements alongside the other "lost" documents, where they join the ghostly paperwork of democracy past.

Humor aside, the implications are chilling: the very institutions charged with safeguarding democracy became its undertakers. They don't merely count ballots—they decide which ones matter, which ones vanish, and which ones get their own private cremation.

Byrne, unsurprisingly, is now fending off lawsuits from Dominion. A judge blocked his lawyer, Newsmax coughed up \$67 million without admitting wrongdoing, and the drama escalates faster than a reality-TV vote recount. Meanwhile, the deep state allegedly thwarted Trump, delaying orders, destroying evidence, and handling inconvenient bodies in Georgia like they were mere props in a political magic trick. Byrne frames it as a domestic "Bolshevik revolution," with shadows of Brennan, Obama, and other unnamed figures orchestrating schemes—including attempts on Trump's life.

Yet, hope flickers amidst the chaos. Leaks from Trump hint at counteraction. Tulsi Gabbard's report resists dilution. Ordinary citizens, armed with teaspoons of curiosity, excavate the "Easter Island statues" of fraud buried deep in the archives, one painstaking scoop at a time. In this carnival of absurdity, democracy is less a system and more a darkly comic treasure hunt, where the prize is truth—and everyone else is playing whack-a-mole with it.

Act IV: Whistleblowers as Claire – From Afterthoughts to Assassins

In *House of Cards*, Claire starts as Frank's accessory—largely decorative, quietly overlooked—until she snatches the throne. In Byrne's saga, whistleblowers and activists play a similar, delightfully subversive role. Initially mocked, ignored, or silenced, these minor characters suddenly become the only ones holding the smoking gun: Tulsi Gabbard, General Flynn, and a motley crew of grassroots investigators. Think of them as the Season 1 background extras no one expected to survive Season 2—until they're the sole survivors, standing amid the wreckage of bureaucratic scheming, waving subpoenas like sabers.

Byrne credits them with keeping the conspiracy alive, refusing to let it dissolve into the familiar black hole of lost memos and basement files. As DNI, Gabbard has declassified documents exposing Obama-era manipulations from 2016, dangling the possibility of prosecutions like a carrot in a Kafkaesque maze. Her study group has absorbed evidence from citizens and whistleblowers alike, confirming Byrne's narrative and blowing minds in the process.

Byrne's recent posts keep the pressure on: ongoing concerns about rigging in 2020 swing states, predictions of turbulence ahead, and a darkly comic sense that democracy, in this story, is less an institution and more a season-long cliffhanger. In this twisted drama, the so-called "supporting cast" suddenly dictates the plot, proving that in politics, the extras are often the most dangerous characters of all.

Act V: Elections as War Games – From Ballot Boxes to Battleships

The Venezuelan connection turns this electoral melodrama into full-blown geopolitics. According to Byrne, Trump's flotilla of warships and the \$50 million bounty on Maduro weren't mere sabre-rattling—they were a real-life board game for power. Think of it this way: elections are oil pipelines for legitimacy. Whoever taps the flow controls governments. Trump wasn't just contesting votes; he was trying to wrest control of the entire infrastructure that delivers them.

And when elections are rigged, the fallout isn't confined to domestic politics. Trade wars, sanctions, military deployments, and global realignments all become collateral in the grand strategy. Ballots aren't flimsy slips of paper— they're triggers for war games.

Byrne paints a picture of Trump deploying 4,000 troops, warships, and a submarine off Venezuela's coast, dangling a \$50 million bounty on Maduro, while Rubio dismisses Maduro's legitimacy as though it were a spam email. Venezuela's military? Merely a collection of "goons" for internal suppression, not a real fighting force—the U.S., apparently, could sweep through like a toddler with a Nerf gun.

Recent theatrics include U.S. warships and Marines arriving off Venezuela in August 2025, prompting Maduro to mobilize his militia, with a dash of drug cartel allegations thrown in for flavor. In this absurdist geopolitical theater, elections, bounties, and military posturing blend into a single darkly comic game where the stakes are global, the players are overarmed, and the script is entirely improvised.

Act VI: Global Echoes of Election Rigging: A House of Cards with Real Stakes

The world's elections increasingly resemble a high-stakes poker game in *House of Cards*, except the players cheat with invisible decks and the chips are entire governments. According to Patrick Byrne, a shadowy triumvirate of tech cartels, intelligence agencies, and criminal networks has rigged the rules in plain sight. Smartmatic's Venezuelan-born software sits at the center of this alleged global drama, turning democracy from a sacred vote into a carefully scripted reality show.

The examples Byrne cites read like a dark comedy: digital backdoors flipping outcomes, foreign funding underwriting “modernized” voting systems, and election software that could give a magician a run for their money. Globalism, authoritarianism, and the occasional absurdity of bureaucratic ineptitude all collide in this plot. Yet amid the chaos, there’s the occasional hero: citizen audits, whistleblowers, and court reversals, hinting that perhaps the house’s winning streak isn’t entirely invincible.

In this theater of the absurd, ballots aren’t just paper—they’re props. Servers aren’t just machines—they’re the puppet masters. And somewhere between the CIA’s shadowy thumbs, the tech cartels’ digital sleight of hand, and the criminal networks’ “oops, did that vote just disappear?” moments, the stakes are nothing less than global legitimacy.

By August 2025, the scene is both terrifying and darkly hilarious: the plot twists are real, the villains are bureaucratic, and the citizens? Well, they’re the plucky underdogs, armed with spreadsheets and subpoenas, slowly poking holes in the most absurdly rigged game of democracy imaginable.

Venezuela (2024): Maduro’s Magical 51% Victory

Echoing Byrne’s script, Venezuela’s 2024 election was nothing short of a masterclass in electoral sleight-of-hand. Polls showed the opposition cruising to a landslide 70% support, yet Nicolás Maduro’s regime miraculously snagged 51%. It was as if the ballot box had transformed into a magician’s hat, producing precisely the rabbit it needed—and only the rabbit it needed.

The Carter Center, a supposedly neutral observer with no dog in this fight, slammed the vote for its opacity, noting blocked digital audits—practically lifted from Byrne’s handbook on manipulated ballot images. The U.S. response? Sanctions and a \$50 million bounty on Maduro, a move eerily in line with Byrne’s calls for regime change. Picture Frank Underwood rigging an election only to have the audience rise up and boo him off the stage—politics as tragicomic theater.

The parallels are deliciously absurd: Iran’s 2009 “Green Revolution” erupted over alleged ballot stuffing and digital tampering under Ahmadinejad, prompting U.S. cries of fraud. In both cases, the house deals the cards, the deck is wildly marked, and yet the players—the voters—are starting to notice. Democracy, it seems, is less a system and more a card trick performed on a global stage, where the audience slowly realizes the magician has been laughing at them all along.

Philippines (2016, 2022): Glitches and Ghosts in the Machine

In the Philippines, Smartmatic's software ran the 2016 and 2022 elections, delivering drama worthy of a *House of Cards* cliffhanger. In 2022, Ferdinand Marcos Jr.'s victory came with a side of "transmission glitches" and whispers of pre-programmed machines—imagine slot machines spitting out jackpots exclusively for the house's favourite candidate. Opposition leader Leni Robredo's team cried foul, pointing fingers at Serbian-style backdoors that allegedly allowed remote hackers to tweak vote tallies. In other words, it was as if Frank Underwood had taken up residence in a Belgrade basement, keyboard at the ready.

A similar plot unfolded in Estonia's 2011 e-voting saga. Reports of Russian interference via digital ballots allegedly nudged results "leftward" toward EU integration, defying conservative polls. Pro-government sources, like Comelec in the Philippines, waved off these anomalies as mere tech hiccups, while critics, including Amnesty International, highlighted silenced whistleblowers and unexplained irregularities.

The punchline? The software is so slick, it's almost impossible to tell whether a glitch is accidental—or a carefully engineered feature. In this digital theatre, elections are less about democracy and more about who controls the code, the narrative, and the popcorn supply for the audience watching the chaos unfold.

Bolivia (2019): A Coup or a Counter-Coup?

Bolivia's 2019 election reads like a *House of Cards* plot twist gone rogue. Evo Morales, the leftist favorite, was ousted after OAS audits flagged fraud in digital vote tallies—a storyline straight out of Byrne's playbook on manipulated ballot images. Morales howled "U.S.-orchestrated coup," flipping Byrne's usual narrative: here, instead of nudging nations left, it seemed Washington had pushed the deck sharply right.

By 2020, Morales' ally Luis Arce reclaimed the presidency, though critics whisper that Smartmatic's software may have engineered a sly reversal behind the scenes. It's like Frank Underwood rigging one election only to lose the next because the audience finally spotted the marked deck.

History repeats—or at least rhymes. Consider Chile 1973: the CIA backed a coup against Salvador Allende, funnelling funds to "modernize" elections before Pinochet's brutal rightward swing.

Media coverage follows the same predictable lines: left-leaning outlets like *The Guardian* spotlight U.S. meddling; right-leaning ones like Fox News howl about fraud. Either way, Bolivia's 2019 debacle proves that democracy can teeter like a house of cards in a windstorm— especially when the wind blows with invisible hands and a sly, sardonic grin.

Kenya (2017, 2022) and Uganda (2021): Africa's Digital Dice Roll

Kenya's 2017 and 2022 elections, powered by Smartmatic, were a rollercoaster of digital drama worthy of a political thriller. In 2017, the Supreme Court annulled results over "irregularities" in digital transmissions, with servers in Europe allegedly fiddling with votes—Byrne's Serbian backdoor allegedly in action. Raila Odinga's challenges pointed to remote-access shenanigans, like a hacker in Belgrade playing electoral whack-a-mole, while voters in Nairobi watched the spectacle unfold like a suspenseful Netflix series.

Uganda's 2021 election followed the same script: Yoweri Museveni "won" amid internet blackouts and suspicious ballot-image manipulations, all under the watchful eye of USAID-funded technology. It's as if Frank Underwood himself had unplugged the Wi-Fi to make sure the right votes went through.

Even Zambia's 2021 election, where Hakainde Hichilema triumphed using Smartmatic systems, was celebrated as fair and square—but through Byrne's lens, it might have been a carefully "neutralized" rig, nudged toward globalist-friendly outcomes.

The pattern is unmistakable: clever tech plus foreign cash equals elections that feel less like spontaneous democracy and more like scripted theatre. In these digital dice rolls, the voters are spectators, the machines are magicians, and the odds are always slightly—suspiciously—in favor of someone else's hand.

Brazil (2022) and France (2022): Digital Democracy or Digital Dictatorship?

Brazil's 2022 election saw Jair Bolsonaro ousted by Lula da Silva amid swirling claims of electronic voting fraud. With no paper trails and digital-only systems linked to Venezuelan vendors, audits later uncovered code vulnerabilities that could allow remote edits—perhaps Byrne's Serbian office was working overtime. It's as if Frank Underwood leaned over the servers, murmuring, "Make it quick, make it neat." Protests flared on January 6, while voters wondered whether they'd cast ballots or merely clicked through someone else's PowerPoint presentation.

Across the Atlantic, France's 2022 election had its own digital drama. Marine Le Pen alleged algorithmic biases in e-voting pilots, as Soros-funded NGOs pushed "modernization" initiatives that suspiciously smelled like manipulation. Mainstream outlets such as the BBC dismissed fraud claims as mere conspiracy fodder, while alternative platforms like *Gateway Pundit* amplified the whistleblowers' alarms.

The takeaway? When paper ballots vanish, democracy becomes less a citizen-driven exercise and more a theatrical production starring tech titans, server scripts, and invisible hands—where the applause comes from algorithms, and the audience never quite knows which candidate actually won.

The Bigger Picture: A Global Pattern and Cracks in the Facade

Taken together, these episodes weave a chilling tapestry: Smartmatic's software, fueled by USAID, the CIA, or Soros' Open Society Foundation, combined with digital backdoors, allegedly flips elections toward globalism—or authoritarianism—like a magician rigging the cards while the audience applauds. Byrne claims 72 nations have danced to this tune for 20 years, from Venezuela to Estonia, creating a *House of Cards* on a planetary scale, where democracy is little more than a prop and citizens are kept blissfully—or perilously—in the dark.

But cracks are appearing. Estonia's citizen audits, Bolivia's court reversals, and Kenya's annulments hint that the house's luck might finally be running out. As of August 2025, with U.S. warships lingering off Venezuela's coast and whistleblowers like Tulsi Gabbard peeling back the curtain, the global deck wobbles precariously. The question is both simple and absurdly dramatic: will it topple under its own hubris—or will the dealers simply reshuffle the deck for another round, serving democracy like a reheated dish in a dystopian banquet?

Key Conclusions: Democracy, Deconstructed

Byrne's evidence paints a portrait of election manipulation not as isolated incidents, but as a systemic, globe-spanning operation—a shadowy collaboration of intelligence agencies, criminal networks, and technological subversion stretching over two decades. According to this account, national elections worldwide—including those in the U.S.—have been influenced by sophisticated software and cyber tactics designed to sidestep traditional safeguards, like recounts or common sense.

Byrne alleges that the 2020 election was compromised through these digital gymnastics, whereas in 2024, the rigging mechanisms were allegedly neutralized, clearing the way for a “legitimate” Trump victory. The system itself is layered like an over-engineered board game: local cheating schemes customized to each jurisdiction, with a centralized cyber control hub in Serbia standing ready as the ultimate fail-safe.

The intrigue stretches further back. Byrne claims the initial coup attempt against Hillary Clinton, allegedly orchestrated by Obama-era deep state actors, involved a cocktail of bribery and blackmail to control her presidency and quash independent governance. This internal power struggle supposedly set the stage for later drama—the Russia hoax and election interference narratives.

Efforts to expose or rectify election fraud have been consistently blocked, delayed, or buried by entrenched actors within government: the FBI, the judiciary, and political elites—turning accountability into a bureaucratic obstacle course. The deep state’s toolkit? Legal maneuvers, media spin, and evidence destruction, all applied with the efficiency of a Mafia accountant.

Amid this chaos, citizen investigators, whistleblowers, and fearless politicians like Tulsi Gabbard emerge as the plucky underdogs. Their grassroots sleuthing pieces together the scattered puzzle of electoral subversion, offering the faintest glimmer of accountability in a system designed to stifle it.

Beyond the U.S., the Venezuelan mafia’s control over election technology—married to Chinese computing infrastructure—represents a strategic threat to democracies worldwide. The U.S. military posture toward Venezuela, from warships to bounties, reflects the broader geopolitical tensions tied to these covert operations, where ballots double as triggers, and server logs become instruments of global intrigue.

In short: democracy, in Byrne’s telling, is less a sacred institution and more a darkly comedic theater, where the scripts are written by shadowy operators, the audience is blissfully unaware, and the plucky few who notice are treated like spoilers in a very expensive political production.

Important Details

According to Byrne, the 2016 election saga began with an \$18 million bribe personally arranged for Hillary Clinton, intended to keep her perfectly aligned with the Obama administration’s agenda. Byrne likens it to controlling a “Bunsen burner”—delicate, precise, and dangerously hot if mishandled. In other words, politics as a chemistry experiment, with Clinton as the flask.

Smartmatic's election software hails from Venezuela, designed by the notorious Cartel de los Soles. Dominion, another key player, allegedly employs the same magical tech. These systems have been installed worldwide, turning elections into a kind of global slot machine: ballots are scanned into digital images, which then become the "official" vote record—meaning the paper ballots are about as relevant as a punchline in a noir comedy. Remote hackers can tweak these images, undetectable even by recounts, which ironically rely on the same manipulated files.

At the center of this digital circus sits the so-called "election rigging office" in Belgrade, Serbia. Here, servers hum quietly on Huawei computers, adjusting vote totals to hit exact margins, strategically triggering—or avoiding—recounts. Blank ballots and other fraudulent materials were allegedly discovered in a Fulton County, Georgia warehouse by operatives working with Byrne. In an unexpected plot twist, a Dominion executive arranged military-grade shredding of the evidence, only for the FBI to swoop in, seize what remained, and destroy it—despite DHS attempts at preservation. Institutional obstruction, anyone?

Byrne's ongoing legal battle with Dominion trudges through a judicial quagmire, while Newsmax's \$67 million settlement looks increasingly like capitulation under mounting pressure. Meanwhile, whistleblowers from 72 countries over 20 years have spilled the beans on global election rigging. General Flynn allegedly met with them to strategize, as the saga's shadowy cabal continued to manipulate outcomes like puppeteers in a very expensive puppet show.

Trump's response reportedly involved 4,000 troops, warships, and a submarine off Venezuela, where the military is more adept at suppressing domestic dissent than conventional warfare. Overthrowing the regime is framed as a prerequisite for restoring "democratic integrity." Meanwhile, the deep state allegedly delayed, obstructed, and sabotaged Trump's efforts—blocking funds, repositioning personnel, and generally behaving like bureaucratic gremlins in a political horror-comedy. Allies were sometimes disloyal, sometimes ineffectual, leaving Trump's team juggling flaming swords while running through invisible obstacle courses.

Enter Tulsi Gabbard, whose courage in exposing election fraud is framed as a turning point. A major report expected in mid-2023 was reportedly pressured to be watered down, yet public awareness is rising thanks to citizen activism, whistleblowers, and political disclosures. If resistance from entrenched powers can be overcome, this tangled web of election manipulation, intelligence operations, and geopolitical intrigue could finally yield reform and accountability—though in the meantime, democracy continues to wobble like a house of cards on a wind-swept stage, with the audience only slowly realizing the magician has been laughing at them all along.

Global Shifts Newsletter – Unstacking the Deck Since 2025

Disclaimers

Forward-Looking Statements

This newsletter may contain forward-looking statements, including but not limited to statements regarding anticipated market trends, geopolitical developments, macroeconomic forecasts, investment strategies, and potential future outcomes. These statements are based on current expectations, assumptions, and projections, and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors that may cause actual results, performance, or achievements to differ materially from those expressed or implied. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of publication. We undertake no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date they are made.

Solicitation Disclaimer

This newsletter is for informational and educational purposes only and does not constitute an offer to sell, a solicitation of an offer to buy, or a recommendation of any security, investment product, or investment strategy. Any examples, analyses, or strategies discussed are purely illustrative and should not be interpreted as investment advice or as a recommendation to engage in any particular investment or strategy. Offers and solicitations can only be made through official, properly authorized channels and accompanied by the relevant offering documents.

Advisory Disclaimer

This newsletter does not constitute personalized financial, investment, tax, legal, or accounting advice. You should consult your own financial advisor, legal counsel, tax professional, or accountant before making any decisions based on the information contained herein. Any investment decision should be made based on your individual circumstances and objectives, and after carefully considering all available information. Past performance is not indicative of future results. All investments carry risk, including the risk of loss.





S.P.A.F. FOUNDATION

Positioning for the Future of Capital Sovereignty

Contact

Stichting Administratiekantoor S.P.A.F.
Gebouw Delftse Poort, Weena 505,
3013 AL Rotterdam,
The Netherlands

E: office@spaf-foundation.org

W: www.spaf-foundation.org

LEI: 9845000X684AIEDA8017

© Stichting Administratiekantoor S.P.A.F. 2025

SPAF-FOUNDATION.ORG